

HOME BUILDERS & REMODELERS ASSOCIATION OF CONNECTICUT, INC.

Your Home
Is Our
Business

3 Regency Drive, Suite 204, Bloomfield, CT 06002 Tel: 860-216-5858 Fax: 860-206-8954 Web: www.hbact.org

February 7, 2017

To: Senators Carlo Leone and Kevin Witkos, Representative David Baram,

Co-Chairs, and Representative Richard Smith, Ranking Member

Members of the General Law Committee

From: Bill Ethier, CAE, Chief Executive Officer

Re: Support SB 353, AAC Allowable Hiring Ratios Regarding

Apprentices, Journeymen and Contractors

The HBRA of Connecticut is a professional trade association with about eight hundred (800) member firms statewide employing tens of thousands of CT's citizens. Our members, all small businesses, are residential and commercial builders, land developers, remodelers, general contractors, subcontractors, suppliers and those businesses and professionals that provide services to our diverse industry and to consumers. We build between 70% to 80% of all new homes and apartments in the state each year and engage in countless home remodeling projects.

The HBRA of Connecticut strongly supports SB 353. Many of our associate members are in the licensed trades and our builder and remodeler members always hire the licensed trades to help construct new homes and renovate existing homes. All of these licensed trade employers require apprentices to grow their workforce.

Current Law: CT's licensed trade <u>hiring</u> restrictions arbitrarily limit the growth of small companies. Under current law, after the first two journeyman and two apprentices (i.e., a 1:1 ratio), the future ratio of journeymen to apprentice hires is 3:1. That means if you have a 4 person shop (2 journeyman and 2 apprentices), you have to hire 3 more journeyman before you can hire the next apprentice (i.e., 5 journeymen to 3 apprentices, 8 journeymen to 4 apprentices, and so on).

This hiring restriction does not affect the work site ratio, which remains 1:1 in all cases. That is, all licensed trade work must be done by a licensed journeyman who can have, at most, one apprentice assisting the journeyman. The ratio SB 353 addresses affects ONLY who you can hire for your company, not who can work on a job.

Problem with Current Law: The current 3:1 hiring ratio that kicks in after the first two pairs of hires increases project costs by forcing the nonunion licensed contractor to hire extra journeymen before hiring a third apprentice. If the first two pairs of journeymen and apprentices are engaged on a job, the next <u>pair</u> must both be journeymen even though the work site rules allow a 1:1 ratio. **This makes no sense.** In addition to raising costs, current law also contributes to manpower shortages and denies younger persons additional opportunities to learn the trades.

Vision: "Building CT's Economy, Communities and Better Lives One Home at a Time"
Mission: "Using Effective Advocacy and New Knowledge to Solve Our Member's Problems."

Testimony of the Home Builders & Remodelers Association of Connecticut, Inc. February 7, 2017, SB 353, AAC Allowable Hiring Ratios Regarding Apprentices, Journeymen and Contractors

If you change the <u>hiring</u> ratio to 1:1 for all hires, public safety would be maintained because, again, the current work site 1:1 ratio would not be affected. A policy that requires anything more than a 1:1 hiring ratio of journeyman to apprentice severely limits the ability of nonunion trade businesses to hire apprentices. Union shops are not affected by this restrictive hiring ratio because the union-sponsored apprentice is indentured to the union hall and not to an individual employer. The arbitrary <u>hiring</u> restriction directly and adversely impacts the ability of homebuilders and remodelers to engage nonunion licensed contractors on a timely basis, adversely impacts the cost of these services and, thereby, increases the cost of home building and remodeling construction projects. <u>SB 353 will support young workers trying to learn a trade by offering them more apprenticeship opportunities and will help to provide critically needed workers to the residential construction industry.</u>

There is no justifiable argument for maintaining the current 3:1 <u>hiring</u> ratio. With respect, arguments made in the past by the Dept of Labor that it would not be able to ensure a work site 1:1 ratio if the <u>hiring</u> ratio is changed have no merit. The argument that, under a 1:1 hiring ratio, an apprentice cannot work if a journeyman is out sick also has no merit since apprentices are also undertaking and studying coursework and may perform other duties in the shop or elsewhere that do not require the 1:1 work site ratio for the brief time a journeyman might be out sick. Also, DOL could ensure the integrity of apprenticeship training under a 1:1 hiring ratio by simply making certain that reporting and paper trails are maintained if an apprentice moves to another sponsoring employer. That is, follow the apprentice wherever they're employed to ensure they're working under a licensed journeyman.

Throughout the residential construction industry, including both the licensed and non-licensed trades, there have been periodic shortages of skilled workers to supply the industry's needs. These shortages have a direct impact on our industry's ability to supply the housing CT desperately needs. The lack of housing supply in this state is a direct cause of our high housing prices and hampers both the willingness and ability of people to come here or stay here and, consequently, the ability of businesses to grow. The current hiring ratio restrictions are a contributing factor to our periodic workforce shortages in the licensed trades.

In conclusion, please help the licensed trade portion of the residential construction industry grow its workforce and give younger people trying to enter the licensed trades greater options to obtain quality work. We urge the state to change the archaic practice of arbitrarily limiting apprentice hires and allow a 1 to 1 hiring ratio of journeyman to apprentice.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this legislation.